## **Franchise Tax Board**

## **NO ANALYSIS REQUIRED**

Author: Ridley-Thomas, et al	Analyst: Rach	nel Coco Bill Numb	per: AB 168
Related Bills: See Prior Analysis	Telephone: 8	Amended Date:	August 30, 2005
	Attorney: Patri	ck Kusiak Sponsor:	
SUBJECT: California Tax Expenditure Accountability Act/Tax Expenditure Reports			
ANALYSIS NOT REQUIRED of this bill – Not within scope of responsibility of this department.  TECHNICAL BILL – No program or fiscal changes to existing program.  BILL AS AMENDED NO LONGER WITHIN SCOPE of responsibility or program of the department.  TECHNICAL AMENDMENT – No change in previously submitted analysis required. Approved position of prior analysis is  MINOR AMENDMENT – No change in previously submitted analysis required. Approved position of prior analysis is Support.  MINOR AMENDMENT – No change in approved position of  See Comments below  X OTHER – See comments below.			
COMMENTS:			
This bill would require several state agencies to provide reports on tax expenditures.			
The August 30, 2005, amendments would make several non-substantive changes to the tax expenditure report required to be made by the Department of Finance.			
These amendments would not impact the department's programs or operations. The analysis of the bill as amended June 27, 2005, still applies.			
Board Position:	A ND	Franchise Tax Board Staff	Date
SNO	X NAR	Deborah Barrett	09/14/05